

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>		Application No. 10/088,801	Applicant(s) BRENNAN ET AL.	
		Examiner ZACHARY SKELDING		Art Unit 1644

All Participants:

(1) ZACHARY SKELDING.

(2) CHARLES MERSEEAU.

Date of Interview: 3 March 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.
(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Zachary Skelding/
Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed applicant provided email of March 3, 2008 which was requested by the Examiner. The Examiner and Applicant's representative agreed upon additional language to clarify claim 133 and to strike a typographical error from the preamble of claim 132, thereby putting the claims into condition for allowance.